

BROWNSTEIN HYATT FARBER SCHRECK, LLP
100 NORTH CITY PARKWAY, SUITE 1600
LAS VEGAS, NV 89106
(702) 382-2101

KIRK B. LENHARD, ESQ., Nevada Bar No. 1437
klenhard@bhfs.com
TAMARA BEATTY PETERSON, ESQ., Nevada Bar No. 5218
tpeterson@bhfs.com
BROWNSTEIN HYATT FARBER SCHRECK, LLP
100 North City Parkway, Suite 1600
Las Vegas, Nevada 89106
Telephone: 702.382.2101
Facsimile: 702.382.8135

DAVID J.J. ROGER, ESQ., Nevada Bar No. 2781
District Attorney
E. LEE THOMSON, ESQ., Nevada Bar No. 1057
Chief Deputy District Attorney
CIVIL DIVISION
500 South Grand Central Parkway, 5th Floor
P.O. Box 552215
Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2215
Telephone: 702.455.4761
Facsimile: 702.382.5178

Attorneys for Defendants

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

NATIONAL FEDERATION OF THE BLIND,
on behalf of its members and itself; ALAN
SCHLANK; BILLIE RUTH SCHLANK;
JOYCE PRATT; and MARK ADREON, on
behalf of themselves and all others similarly
situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA; CLARK
COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS;
and CLARK COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF
AVIATION d/b/a McCARRAN
INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT,

Defendants.

CASE NO.: 2:11-cv-00474-LDG-PAL

**STIPULATION AND ORDER
REGARDING DEFENDANTS'
MOTION TO DISMISS COMPLAINT
(DKT. NO. 24); DEFENDANTS'
MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE
SUPPLEMENT TO MOTION TO
DISMISS COMPLAINT (DKT. NO. 25);
AND DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO
EXCEED PAGE LIMIT (DKT. NO. 26);
STIPULATION AND ORDER TO
EXTEND BRIEFING SCHEDULE
(FIRST REQUEST)**

Defendants Clark County, Nevada, Clark County Board of County Commissioners, and
the Clark County Department of Aviation d/b/a McCarran (collectively the "County"), by and

through their attorneys of record the law firm of Brownstein Hyatt Farber Schreck LLP and the Clark County District Attorney's Office, and Plaintiffs National Federation of the Blind, Alan Schlank, Billie Ruth Schlank, Joyce Pratt, and Mark Adreon (collectively the "NFB Plaintiffs"), by and through their attorneys of record Alverson Taylor Mortensen & Sanders, Disability Rights Advocates, and Brown Goldstein & Levy LLP, hereby stipulate as follows:

1. WHEREAS the County filed its Motion to Dismiss Complaint (Dkt. No. 24) ("Motion to Dismiss") on April 25, 2011.
2. WHEREAS the County filed its Motion for Leave to File Supplement to Motion to Dismiss Complaint (Dkt No. 25) ("Motion to Supplement") on April 29, 2011, which included Exhibit "A" - "Proposed Supplement to Motion to Dismiss Complaint" ("County's Supplement").
3. WHEREAS the County also filed its Motion to Exceed Page Limit (Dkt. No. 26) ("Motion to Exceed Limit") on April 29, 2011.
4. WHEREAS the County and the NFB Plaintiffs agree that the County's Motion to Supplement should be granted by the Court such that the County's Supplement should be included and considered as part of the County's Motion to Dismiss.
5. WHEREAS the County and the NFB Plaintiffs agree that the County's Motion to Exceed Limit should be granted by the Court because the County was justified in exceeding Local Rule 7-4's page limit.
6. WHEREAS the County and the NFB Plaintiffs agree that the NFB shall have additional time than that provided in Local Rule 7-2(d) to file a consolidated opposition brief to the County's Motion to Dismiss, which includes the County's Supplement. The County and the NFB Plaintiffs agree that NFB Plaintiffs will file one consolidated opposition brief on or before **June 7, 2011**.
7. WHEREAS the County and the NFB Plaintiffs agree that the NFB Plaintiffs may file their own motion to exceed the page limit in Local Rule 7-4 with regard to their consolidated opposition brief.
8. WHEREAS the County and the NFB Plaintiffs agree that the County shall file one consolidated reply brief in support of the County's Motion to Dismiss, which now includes

the County's Supplement, and this consolidated reply brief shall be filed on or before **June 28, 2011.**

9. WHEREAS the County and the NFB Plaintiffs agree that the NFB Plaintiffs shall have up to and including **May 23, 2011**, to amend their Complaint.

10. WHEREAS the County and the NFB Plaintiffs agree on and approve the form and content of the Proposed Order which follows this Stipulation.

Dated this 11th of May, 2011.

/s/ Tamara Beatty Peterson
KIRK B. LENHARD, ESQ.,
Nevada Bar No. 1437
klenhard@bhfs.com
TAMARA BEATTY PETERSON, ESQ.,
Nevada Bar No. 5218
tpeterson@bhfs.com
BROWNSTEIN HYATT FARBER
SCHRECK, LLP
100 North City Parkway, Suite 1600
Las Vegas, Nevada 89106
Telephone: 702.382.2101
Facsimile: 702.382.8135

/s/ Eric K. Taylor
ERIC K. TAYLOR, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 2275
etaylor@alversontaylor.com
ALVERSON, TAYLOR, MORTENSEN &
SANDERS
7401 W. Charleston Blvd.
Las Vegas, Nevada 89117-1401
Telephone: 702.384.7000
Facsimile: 702.385.7000

/s/ Laurence W. Paradis
LAURENCE W. PARADIS, ESQ.
(Pro Hac Vice)
lparadis@dralegal.org
KEVIN KNESTRICK, ESQ.
(Pro Hac Vice)
kknestrick@dralegal.org
KARLA GILBRIDE, ESQ.
(Pro Hac Vice)
kgilbride@dralegal.org
DISABILITY RIGHTS ADVOCATES
2001 Center Street, Third Floor
Berkeley, California 94704
Telephone: 510.665.8644
Facsimile: 510.665.8511

/s/ Gregory P. Care
DANIEL F. GOLDSTEIN, ESQ.
(Pro Hac Vice)
dfg@browngold.com
GREGORY P. CARE, ESQ.
(Pro Hac Vice)
gpc@browngold.com
TIMOTHY R. ELDER, ESQ.
(Pro Hac Vice)
telder@browngold.com
BROWN, GOLDSTEIN & LEVY, LLP
120 East Baltimore Street, Suite 1700
Baltimore, Maryland 21202
Telephone: 410.962.1030
Facsimile: 410.385.0869

Order

Having reviewed the foregoing stipulation and good cause appearing,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that “Motion for Leave to File Supplement to Motion to Dismiss Complaint (Dkt No. 25) and Motion to Exceed Page Limit (Dkt. No. 26) are hereby GRANTED.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that the County’s “Proposed Supplement to Motion to Dismiss Complaint” (Dkt. No. 25, Ex. A) (“County’s Supplement”) shall now be deemed as a supplement and, thus, part of the County’s Motion to Dismiss Complaint (Dkt. No. 24).


IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that the County’s Motion to Dismiss Complaint (Dkt. No. 24), which now includes the County’s Supplement, is permitted to exceed the page limit provided for in Local Rule 7-4.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that the NFB Plaintiffs shall file one consolidated opposition brief to the County’s Motion to Dismiss, and the County’s Supplement thereto, on or before **June 7, 2011**.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that the County shall file one consolidated reply brief in support of the County’s Motion to Dismiss, and supplement thereto, on or before **June 28, 2011**.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that the NFB Plaintiffs shall have up to and including **May 23, 2011**, to amend their Complaint.

IT IS SO ORDERED.


UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
DATED: 13 May, 2011